

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,361	01/06/2005	Paolo Gentili	4017-28	2673
23117	7590 06/07/2006		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			BIDWELL, JAMES R	
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203		LOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3651	
		DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/520,361	GENTILI, PAOLO				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		James R. Bidwell	3651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DIPLICATION OF THE MAILING DIPLIC	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 A</u>	pril 2006.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>17,19-26 and 28-32</u> is/are pending in	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
-	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17, 19-26 and 28-32</u> is/are rejected.						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	tor the certified copies not receive	su.				
Attachmen	• •	,, <b>,</b> , ,, ,	(070, 440)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	) 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kinsley (U.S. Patent 3,026,991) or Schneider (U.S. Patent 4,566,583).

Kinsley and Schneider both show a variable pitch screw that increases in a direction towards an outlet zone.

Re claim 28, portions corresponding to pitch values can be clearly identified.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17, 19-26 and 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over British Patent Application 2,189,205 in view of Schnider or Kinsley.

The British Patent Application shows a screw feeder arranged within a hopper. Not disclosed is a variable pitch which increases towards an outlet zone. However, shown by both Schneider and Kinsley is the concept of using a variable pitch screw which increases in pitch towards an outlet zone. To change the screw of the British Patent Application so as to be variable and increase towards an outlet zone would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/520,361

Art Unit: 3651

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as it is extremely well know in the field of screw conveyors to use variable pitch screws to vary or meter output.

Re claims 19 and 28, the screws of Schneider and Kinsley each clearly have portions of different values.

Re claims 20-23 and 29-32, the claimed ranges for different portions fall within the teachings of Schneider and Kinsley and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to obtain a desired spacing at an outlet zone.

Re claim 24, the screw is arranged below a hopper.

Re claim 25, shown is a hinged side element 14.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17, 19-26 and 28-32 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R. Bidwell whose telephone number is (571)272-6910.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene O. Crawford, can be reached on 571-272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,361

Page 4

Art Unit: 3651

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James & Brawell 6/1/06

JRB

06-01-2006